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Recent Trends in IR Space

• Long-standing IR platforms
  • DSpace / bepress / eScholarship
• Some new ones, too
  • Samvera (Sufia, Hyku)
• Commercial firms entering
  • Elsevier
• Lots of moving parts
• BUT ..... Growing angst / dissatisfaction with current alternatives
• Desire, sometimes quite strong, to “take back control” of the scholarly communication process
• Most definitely, a tough problem
  • Lots of options
  • Different levels of capabilities
  • Some proclaim IR’s are “done”
LYRASIS started convo with CDL and others

Similar perspectives
  • Probably others do, too

Wanted to find out more about the entire library publishing space
  • Not just for IR’s but also journal, book, data publishing

We (LYR) decided to launch survey of library publishing
  • Ran during June and July
OVERALL

• 53 responses

• Good mix of institutions
  • 10 small colleges / 15 mid-level universities
  • 21 major universities
    • 3 Ivy’s / 4 Big 12’s / 2 UC’s / 2 ACC’s / 1 SEC / 1 PAC 12

• Wide breadth of responders
  • Practitioners (with no budgetary authority) to Deans/Directors
GENERAL INFO

- Most have been doing this for a long time
  - 58% with mature publ programs (5 yrs+)
- Active programs, too
  - 77% are growing / None are in decline
- Only 15% have only an IR
  - 46% have multiple silo’ed systems
- Small (ish) staff
  - 47% with less that 2 staff; 41% 2-5 staff
- Library staff is the highest percentage for all services
COMMUNITY

• 62% are considering a replacement of at least one of their systems
• Community-driven: 73% say important (above neutral)
  • 66% likely to join a community
• Open source: 78% say important
• One unified/integrated platform: 62% say important
• Discoverability/SEO most important of all / 100%
• Hosting: 85% would purchase/consider purchasing hosting service
  • Only 6% want to deploy locally.
RESULTS (4)

BOOKS
- Book publishing 53%
  - But modest volumes
- Pressbooks, Ubiquity and Editoria
- 20% looking to launch book publ

JOURNALS
- 74% provide journal publishing
- bepress, OJS, Ubiquity
- Generally satisfied with features/functions
RESULTS (5)

DATA
• 44% provide data publishing
  • mostly under 100 datasets (71%)
• bepress, DSpace, Dataverse, ICPSR, and Samvera
  • 50% are satisfied with current platform
• Half are looking to launch data publishing

IR
• 86% have an IR
• bepress / DSpace / Samvera
• 97% say SEO is essential
• 75% satisfied with current platform
CONCLUSIONS?

- Multi-dimensional problem
- Multiple platforms, wide-ranging needs and capabilities
  - Data publishing is growing
- Integrated solution(s) are needed
- Lower costs for smaller institutions
- Hosted services are strongly preferred
- Community-based governance
Questions for the Panel

• What conclusions do you draw from the survey data?
  • Agree/Disagree with the above?
• What others issues do you see?
• How do we:
  • Develop requirements?
  • Form a community?
  • Reach a consensus?
• What are some next, best steps?
• How do we engage the LYRASIS community?